

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,459	07/27/2009	Cheng Liu	21601.0006(27527/40666)	7677
4743 7590 04/06/2011 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			SPECTOR, LORRAINE	
6300 WILLIS T CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			NOTIFICATION DATE 04/06/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/585,459	LIU ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
LORRAINE SPECTOR	1647			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Source of time may be available under the provisions of 37 FST 1.39(a). In over, thowever, may reply be firmly filed SIX (5) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. SIX (5) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. The provision of the communication of the
Status	
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
4) 🖂 5) 🗆 6) 🗆 7) 🗖	Claim(s) 1-4.6.9.12-14.20.24-33.39.47.48.50-53.55-65.79-88 and 136-153 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	on Papers
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b _ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
A4	40

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mall Date.____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: Note sequence requriement at first paragraph of office action.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-4,6,9,12-14,20,24-33,39,47,48,50-53,55-65,79-88 and 136-153.

Art Unit: 1647

Sequence Compliance

37 C.F.R.§1.821(d) reads as follows:

(d) Where the description or claims of a patent application discuss a sequence listing that is set forth in the "Sequence Listing" in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, reference must be made to the sequence by use of the assigned identifier, in the text of the description or claims, even if the sequence is also embedded in the text of the description or claims of the patent application.

The claims and/or specification are not in full compliance with 37 C.F.R.§1.821(d), and should be amended to refer to the appropriate sequence identifier(s) (SEQ ID NO:). For example, see claim 33. Correction is required in response to this Office Action.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more several groups, each of which contain more than than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1

The species are as follows:

Group a): Multiple specific antibodies are claimed. Applicants are required to elect a single antibody including disclosure of the full heavy and light chains, and any and all SEQ ID NOs: that correspond to the elected antibody. If the SEQ ID NOs: of claim 20 pertain to a single antibody, then applicants must elect a single SEQ ID NO: to be prosecuted. If those SEQ ID NOs: correspond to multiple different antibodies, then that/those sequences that correspond to the elected antibody must be identified, and an election of one must be made. Similarly, a single sequence from those listed in each of claims 33 and 39 must be elected, consistent with the election of a single antibody.

Art Unit: 1647

Group b): A single species selected from the group consisting of an IgG1 fragment that reduces antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, a fragment of an IgG1 antibody that comprises a mutation in the IgG1 constant region that reduces complement dependent cytotoxicity, an antibody that comprises a fragment of an IgG4 constant region, and an antibody that comprises a mutation in the igG4 constant region that reduces the formation of half- antibodies (see claims 29-32.

Group c): Applicant is required to elect a single second therapeutic agent selected from the group consisting of: a cancer chemotherapeutic agent (if any of the additional species is a cancer chemotherapeutic agent as defined by applicants, this species may not be elected), zeledronate, pamidronate, clodronate, etidronate, tilundronate, alendronate, ibandronate, another antibody, a non-M- CSF colony stimulating factor, or anti-RANKL antibody, or soluble RANKL receptor.

Applicants are cautioned that recitations of intended use, as found for example in claim 140, are given minimal weight. Should applicant amend claims to be drawn to methods of treatment, an additional election of a single species of disease or condition will be required.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from each of the groups set forth above, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the combination of (i.e. includes one or two species and is generic with respect to the other, or includes all three species) elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: None.

Art Unit: 1647

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Art Unit: 1647

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Lorraine M. Spector. Dr. Spector can normally be reached Monday from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. Eastern Time, and Tuesday through Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 2:00 P.M. Eastern Time at telephone number 571-272-0893.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the Examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker, at telephone number 571-272-0911.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to 571-273-0893.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. /Lorraine Spector/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1647

Page 6

Art Unit: 1647